

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/003,437  | 12/06/2001   | F. Murphy Sprinkel JR. | 033018-070          | 7405            |
| 7590 12/20/2004   |              |                        | EXAMINER            |                 |
| Peter K. Skiff  |              |                        | EREZO, DARWIN P     |                 |
| BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.<br>P.O. Box 1404 |              | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
| Alexandria, V   | A 22313-1404 |                        | 3731                |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  |   |  | . ^ A               |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |                     |  |  |  |
|  | 10/003,437  | SPRINKEL ET AL.  | $\mathbb{V}^{\vee}$ |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                     |  |  |  |
|  | Darwin P. Erezo   | 3731   |                     |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence addr  | ess                 |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a leady within the statutory minimum of thire od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed<br>ty (30) days will be considered timely.<br>NTHS from the mailing date of this comi<br>BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication.         |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22  | November 2004.  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| ,  | his action is non-final.  |  |                     |  |  |  |
|  | <del>-</del> · · · · · ·  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde  | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E  | ). 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                     |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are pending in the applica   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd   | rawn from consideration.  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | d/or election requirement   | 4  |                     |  |  |  |
|  | aror orogion roquironioni   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   | •  |                     |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  |   | by the Everniner   |                     |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  | ccepted or b) objected to   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  |   |  | R 1 121(d)          |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| ·  | ZAGITIMOT. 11010 UTO GUICOTTO   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  | •                   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore<br>a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                     |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume   |   | A \ 15 \ 15 \ 15 \ 15 \ 15 \ 15 \ 15 \ 1   |                     |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume   |   |  | tago                |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the p<br/>application from the International Bur</li> </ol>   |   | Treceived in this National S   | tage                |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a  |   | t received.  |                     |  |  |  |
| Got the attached actailed Office action for a f  | 2 202 333.33 110  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Attachmont(c)  |   | •  |                     |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview  | Summary (PTO-413)  |                     |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No  | (s)/Mail Date  | 152)                |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | (08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:   | Informal Patent Application (PTO-<br>  | , ,                 |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/003,437

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. The indication of allowable subject matter on the previous office action is regretted and an action on the merit follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 27, 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 26 recites a Markush group limitation consisting of

- (a) thermally decomposing a metal salt;
- (b) heating a metal powder;
- (c) reduction of a metal oxide;
- (d) coating the passage with resistive ink;
- (e) electrolessly depositing of one or more layers of metal; and
- (f) vapor depositing a metal.

Though claims 27, 28, 30 and 31 can further limit the limitations of group (a)-(c), and (e), they fails to further limit groups (d) and (f). That is, the recited steps in claims are not required for groups (d) and (f). Therefore, the claims are rendered indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,437

Art Unit: 3731

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,656,738 to Vogel et al. in view of US 4,550,036 to Ludwig et al.

(claims 26, 27, 30 and 31) Vogel teaches a method of manufacturing a fluid vaporizing device comprising: providing a fluid passage in body (Fig. 3A), the fluid passage having an inlet opening and an outlet opening; and forming a tubular heater by depositing a thin resistive film inside the passage (col. 6, lines 41-42), the heater being operable to volatilize fluid in the passage by passing an electrical current through the film. Vogel is silent with regards to the type of depositing step. Ludwig teaches a method of depositing a metal to a surface comprising electrolessly depositing a layer of metal in solution (col. 1 lines 22-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the step taught by Ludwig since electroless deposition of a metal in solution is well known in the art, as stated by the applicant in the disclosure (page 19, line 1).

(claim 28) Vogel teaches conductive contacts 86.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,437

Art Unit: 3731

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

de